UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Document 13 Filed 08/12/2008 Page 1 of 7 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, Civil Action No. 07 Civ 8366 (SCR) Plaintiff(s), AMENDED VERIFIED ANSWER
MARK ROGOWSKI, M&M PRODUCE FARMS & SALES, INC. and NANCY ROGOWSKI, Individually and as Parent and Natural Guardian of NATASHA ROGOWSKI, an Infant,
Defendant(s).
The defendants, NANCY ROGOWSKI, Individually and as Parent and Natural
Guardian of NATASHA ROGOWSKI by and through their attorneys, BASSO &
ASSOCIATES, P.C. as and for its verified answer to the plaintiff's verified complaint, alleges
as follows:
FIRST: Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "10"
SECOND: Denies knowledge and information as to each and every allegation
contained in paragraphs "1", "3", "9", "13", "14", "15", and "16".
THIRD: Denies and refers all questions of law to the Court in each and every allegation
contained in paragraphs "6", "7", "8", "17", "18"
FOURTH: Admits each and every allegation contained in paragraph "2", "4", "5",
"11", and "12".

AS AND FOR THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FIFTH: Answering defendants reiterate and reallege each and every response made to the allegations contained in the paragraphs of the complaint designated "1" through "18", inclusive, as referred to in paragraph marked "19" of the complaint with the full force and effect as though fully set forth at length herein.

SIXTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "20".

AS AND FOR THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

SEVENTH: Answering defendants reiterate and reallege each and every response made to the allegations contained in the paragraphs of the complaint designated "1" through "20", inclusive, as referred to in paragraph marked "21" of the complaint with the full force and effect as though fully set forth at length herein.

EIGHTH: Denies each and every allegation contained in paragraph "22".

AS AND FOR THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

NINTH: Answering defendants reiterate and reallege each and every response made to the allegations contained in the paragraphs of the complaint designated "1" through "22", inclusive, as referred to in paragraph marked "23" of the complaint with the full force and effect as though fully set forth at length herein.

TENTH: Denies and refers all questions of law to the Court in each and every allegation contained in paragraph "24".

AS AND FOR THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Case 7:07-cv-08366-SCR-LMS Document 13 Filed 08/12/2008 Page 3 of 7

NINETEENTH: Plaintiff's causes of action are barred by the Statute of Limitations

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTIETH: The Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTY-FIRST: Plaintiff's causes of action are barred by the doctrines of waiver and estoppel.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTY-SECOND: Plaintiff fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTY-THIRD: The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTY-FOURTH: Plaintiff has failed to mitigate its damages.

WHEREFORE, these answering defendants demand judgment:

Case 7: ASCANDES OF SOSE CONSIDER AND COMPLETED DEFENSE 4 of 7

NINETEENTH: Plaintiff's causes of action are barred by the Statute of Limitations

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTIETH: The Court lacks personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTY-FIRST: Plaintiff's causes of action are barred by the doctrines of waiver and estoppel.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTY-SECOND: Plaintiff fails to state a cause of action upon which relief can be granted.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE TWENTY-THIRD: The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE AND COMPLETE DEFENSE

TWENTY-FOURTH: Plaintiff has failed to mitigate its damages.

WHEREFORE, these answering defendants demand judgment:

Case 7:07-c 10836 Dismissing slain because if ed 30mp raises 08/12/2008 Page 5 of 7

(2) For costs and disbursements.

Dated: August <u>N</u>, 2008 LaGrangeville, NY

BASSO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

John J. Basso, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendants – Nancy Rogowski, Individually and a/p/n/g of Natasha Rogowski 1171 Route 55

LaGrangeville, NY 12540

Yours, etc.

(845) 452-0200

TO: RIVKIN RADLER, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff —
Central Mutual Insurance Company
M. Paul Gorfinkel (MPG-2069)
Jason B. Gurdus (JG-3293)
926 RexCorp Plaza
Uniondale, NY 11556-0926
(516) 357-3000

STATE PONEWOX SECRILMS Document 13 Filed 08/12/2008 Page 6 of 7
) SS.:
COUNTY OF DUTCHESS)

JOHN J. BASSO, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of the New York and says that:

I am the principal of the law firm of BASSO & ASSOCIATES, P.C., the attorneys of record.

I have read the annexed <u>Answer</u>, know the contents thereof and the same are true to my knowledge, except those matters therein which are stated to be alleged upon information and belief and as to those matters I believe them to be true. My belief as to those matters therein not stated upon knowledge, is based upon records, reports and investigative material contained in our file.

The reason I make this affirmation instead of plaintiff is that plaintiff does not reside in the county where we maintain our primary offices.

I affirm that the foregoing statements are true under penalties of perjury.

Dated: LaGrangeville, New York
August 11, 2008

J. RASSO, ESQ

Civil Action No. 07 Civ 8366 (SCR)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CENTRAL MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

-against-

MARK ROGOWSKI, M&M PRODUCE FARMS & SALES, INC. and NANCY ROGOWSKI, Individually and as Parent and Natural Guardian of NATASHA ROGOWSKI, an Infant,

Defendants. STATE OF NEW YORK) SS: **COUNTY OF DUTCHESS**

MARY MORAN, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I am not a party to this action. I am over 18 years of age and I reside in LaGrangeville, New York.

On August 7, 2008, I served the within AMENDED VERIFIED ANSWER, for service upon the attorneys listed below at the addresses designated by said attorneys for that purpose by depositing a true copy of same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper, in an official depository under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

Rivkin Radler, LLP 926 RexCorp Plaza Uniondale, NY 11556-0926

Sworn to before me this 7th day of August, 2008.

Notary Public

LORIE A. BEDELL Notary Public, State Of New York No. 01BE5075780 Qualified In Dutchess County Commission Expires April 7, 20